## 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 4 Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB 5 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT 6 [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: LITIGATION **DEFENDANTS UBER** 7 TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RASIER, LLC, AND RASIER-CA, LLC'S MOTION TO 8 This Document Relates To: **DISMISS CASES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH PTO 10** 9 Jane Doe NLG (HK) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-8614-CRB 10 Jane Doe NLG (R.M.) v. Uber 11 Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-12 09216-CRB 13 Jane Doe NLG (KS) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-02616-CRB 14 Jane Doe NLG (IM) v. Uber Technologies, 15 Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-02771-CRB 16 Et al 17 18 19 [PROPOSED] ORDER 20 Having considered Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with PTO 21 10, the papers filed in support and opposition, and the record in this case, the Court therefore 22 hereby ORDERS as follows: 23 Defendant's Motion to Dismiss [ECF No. 3731] is DENIED without prejudice. 24 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 DATED: , 2025 HON. CHARLES R. BREYER 27 United States District Court Judge 28

1

CASE NO. 3:23-MD-03084-CRB

[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RASIER, LLC, AND RASIER-CA, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS CASES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH PTO 10